



INTERSECTIONAL STUDIES OF GENDER AND SEXUALITY- INCLUSIVE APPROACHES FOR RESEARCH

Dr. Shasilesh Bahadure
Professor & Head,
Department of English,
Dr. Ambedkar College,
Deekshabhoomi,
Nagpur-440010

Introduction:

Intersectionality stands as one of the most consequential theoretical frameworks in contemporary studies on gender and sexuality. Emerging primarily from Black feminist theory and critical legal studies, intersectionality offers a sophisticated analytical lens for understanding how multiple dimensions of social identity and position intersect. Rather than treating gender, sexuality, race, class, disability, age and other social categories as separate or independent variables, intersectionality reveals how they are dynamically co-constructed through inter-connected social structures, institutions and systems of power (Jorba and Sa).

Intersectionality is significant for understanding the experiences of those situated at the margins—those whose identities position them within overlapping systems of marginalization and simultaneously, sometimes privilege (Taber and Stults). It emerged as a critical concept to address the profound limitations of both second-wave feminism and traditional gender studies which frequently centered the experiences of white, middle-class, heterosexual women while marginalizing or entirely erasing the voices of women of color, working-class women, LGBTQ+ individuals and others with multiply marginalized identities. It is fundamentally grounded in Black feminist epistemology which insists that knowledge production must center the standpoints and lived experiences of those most oppressed by interlocking systems of domination (Pippins and Pippins). The concept recognizes that race, class, gender, sexuality, ethnicity, nation, ability, age and religion operate not as unitary, mutually exclusive entities, but rather as reciprocally constructing phenomena that collectively shape how individuals and groups experience the world.

Gender, Sexuality and Interconnected Social Categories:

Within an intersectional framework, gender and sexuality cannot be understood as separate domains of analysis; instead, they emerge through complex interactions with race, class, disability status, national identity, religious affiliation and other social positions. The ways individuals experience and express gender are profoundly shaped by racialization, class position, colonial histories and access to citizenship and resources. Similarly, sexuality—encompassing sexual orientation, sexual identity, erotic practices and desires—is constructed through the same interconnected systems of power that shape gender (Russell et al.).



Contemporary sexuality studies increasingly recognize that expanding notions of sexual and gender identities reflect both individual variation and the operation of social structures. For example, research on LGBTQ+ populations demonstrates that experiences of sexual and gender minorities cannot be homogenized; rather, sexual orientation, gender identity and gender expression intersect with race, ethnicity, disability, immigration status and socioeconomic position to generate distinctive experiences of vulnerability and resilience (Parmenter et al.). Black women who stutter, trans people of color, disabled queer individuals and immigrant LGBTQ+ communities exemplify how intersecting identities produce unique configurations of marginalization that cannot be captured by single-axis analyses (Richardson et al.).

Intersectionality fundamentally challenges the conceptual architecture of single-axis thinking. Rather than asking ‘what is the experience of women?’ or ‘what are the health needs of LGBTQ+ individuals?’ an intersectional framework asks: ‘Which women? Women of which racial, ethnic and national backgrounds? Women with what relationship to disability, poverty, immigration status, or religious identity?’ This reframing reveals how answers to seemingly generic questions vary dramatically based on individuals’ specific location within interconnected systems of power and inequality (Duarte et al.).

Intersectionality as a theoretical framework achieves its deepest significance when grounded in the lived experiences and narratives of individuals navigating multiple, intersecting social identities. Rather than conceptualizing identity as a fixed attribute that individuals possess, intersectional scholarship understands identity as relationally constituted, contextually contingent and continuously re-negotiated as individuals move across different social spaces and encounter varying configurations of power and possibility (Mancinelli). Through close attention to lived experiences, we gain critical insights into how structural inequalities shape individual trajectories, relationships, mental health and possibilities for flourishing.

Identity formation is not a developmental process that concludes in adolescence or early adulthood; rather, it is an on-going process through which individuals continuously make sense of themselves and their social positions in relation to systems of power, community contexts and available possibilities for self-understanding (Reuben et al.).

It also needs to be taken into consideration that intersectional identity formation is shaped not only by individuals' subjective sense of self but also by how others perceive and categorize them, by institutional classification systems and by the availability of cultural representations and communities through which to understand oneself (Lee et al.). The study of intersectional identity formation thus requires attention to both individual agency and the structural and interpersonal forces that constrain and enable different ways of being.

Privilege and Marginalization:

All individuals occupy multiple social positions simultaneously and these positions distribute both privilege and marginalization in complex, sometimes contradictory ways. A person might experience significant privilege based on race or class position while simultaneously experiencing marginalization based on gender, sexuality, disability or immigration status. An



intersectional approach to understanding lived experience requires simultaneously holding the reality of individuals' multiple, intersecting positions and recognizing how power operates across and through these positions(Dlott).

Individuals must continuously assess social situations, anticipate possible discrimination or acceptance and decide how to present themselves—decisions that occur simultaneously across multiple dimensions of identity. The intersectional study of privilege and marginalization also illuminates experiences of what scholars term ‘intersectional invisibility’—wherein individuals with multiple marginalized identities may be overlooked or rendered invisible by systems and movements designed to address single-axis inequalities. For example, female athletes in male-dominated sports experience intersectional invisibility such that while their gender is hypervisible in performance contexts, their mental health needs remain institutionally invisible, resulting in paradoxical silencing and exclusion (Luguterah and Kokoe).

Intersectional Methodology:

Intersectional methodology is not a single standardized set of procedures but rather a commitment to specific epistemological principles and ethical practices that must be adapted and contextualized to different research questions, populations and social locations. These principles include: centering multiply marginalized voices as knowledge producers; attending to systems-level and structural factors alongside individual-level experiences; reflexively interrogating researchers' own social positions and power; and ensuring that research processes and findings contribute to social justice and community empowerment (Watson-Singleton et al.).

Intersectional gender and sexuality studies have made remarkable contributions to knowledge production and social justice work, yet significant gaps and challenges remain. While intersectionality as a concept has gained significant traction in academic and activist circles, its operationalization in concrete practices—in healthcare, education, law, social services and other institutions—remains inconsistent and often remains superficial or tokenistic (Udenigwe et al.).

Enquiry is required to be know the intersectional gender and sexuality studies. A critical gap in intersectional scholarship concerns the insufficient engagement with Global South contexts and decolonial epistemologies. Intersectionality emerged from the intellectual and political work of Black feminist scholars in the United States and was subsequently adapted and deployed across diverse global contexts. However, much intersectional scholarship remains rooted in North American and Western European contexts, often inadvertently replicating the very universalizing, Western-centric tendencies that intersectionality was developed to critique (Middha).

Decolonial perspectives offer crucial resources for rethinking intersectionality in Global South contexts (Poell et al.). Decolonial feminism insists on the necessity of understanding gender and sexuality through attention to colonial legacies, epistemic hierarchies and the ongoing manoeuvre of colonialism in contemporary institutions and social relations



(Udenigwe et al.). Research situated in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean reveals how intersecting systems of gender oppression, racial hierarchy, economic exploitation and colonial domination operate distinctly in these contexts.

Importantly, decolonial intersectionality is not simply a matter of applying a Western-originated concept in non-Western contexts. Rather, decolonial scholars demonstrate how diverse Global South contexts have long articulated intersectional analyses and social movements, even if not always using the language of ‘intersectionality’ (Roy).

While intersectional research has proliferated across academic disciplines, translating intersectional insights into concrete institutional and policy changes has proven remarkably difficult. Three critical domains—health, violence prevention and advocacy are important where intersectional approaches are proving vital yet remain inconsistently implemented.

In healthcare and public health, intersectional approaches reveal how health disparities and inequities cannot be addressed through interventions targeting single demographic groups or single health conditions. Sexual and reproductive health, including HIV prevention, maternal health and reproductive justice, requires intersectional analysis attending to how racism, class inequality, immigration policies and gender-based violence collectively shape health outcomes (Spadacio et al.). Mental health access for marginalized populations—including female athletes in male-dominated sports, queer and trans youth of color, transgender adults—requires intersectional approaches attending to how multiple forms of stigma, discrimination and structural exclusion operate (Luguterah and Kokoe).

Violence prevention and survivor support also demands intersectional approaches. Research on sexual violence, intimate partner violence and other forms of gender-based violence reveals that the experiences of survivors cannot be adequately understood or addressed without attention to racialization, immigration status, disability, economic vulnerability and other intersecting dimensions (Alcaire). The intersectional analysis of violence requires simultaneously attending to individual perpetrators and to the systemic, structural violence embedded in institutions like law enforcement, immigration, healthcare and education (Mehra et al.).

Advocacy and activism are increasingly recognizing intersectionality's importance. LGBTQIA+—BIPOC movements, reproductive justice organizations, environmental justice movements led by women of color, and anti-violence movements working with marginalized communities all explicitly employ intersectional frameworks to name and resist interlocking systems of oppression (Parmenter et al.). These movements recognize that liberation cannot be achieved through single-issue organizing but requires comprehensive analysis and strategic coordination addressing multiple forms of oppression simultaneously (Boonabaana et al.).

Future Directions:

Looking forward, intersectional gender and sexuality studies must advance simultaneously on several fronts. Theoretically, scholars must continue to develop more nuanced understandings



of how power operates across multiple dimensions of identity and position, how intersectionality relates to and differs from other analytical frameworks and how to avoid the flattening, depoliticizing deployments of intersectionality that have sometimes occurred as the concept has gained mainstream acceptance (Mothoagae).

Methodologically, the field must continue to innovate, combining qualitative depth with quantitative breadth, centering participatory and community-based approaches and developing new tools for capturing intersectional complexity across different research contexts and populations (Assem-Erhaze and Archibald). Particularly crucial is the development of culturally responsive, decolonial methodologies rooted in the epistemologies and ways of knowing of marginalized and Global South communities rather than imposing Western research frameworks (Poell et al.).

In terms of praxis and application, the imperative is to move from describing intersectional inequities to fundamentally transforming institutions and structures. This requires researchers partnering with social movements and activists; advocating for institutional policy changes informed by intersectional analysis; supporting grassroots initiatives designed and led by marginalized communities; and contributing expertise toward dismantling rather than merely studying interlocking systems of oppression (Bonan).

Finally, intersectional studies of gender and sexuality must remain committed to centering the most marginalized voices and experiences, to recognizing the complexity and agency of those navigating interlocking systems of oppression, and to supporting the kinds of scholarship, activism and praxis that advance toward worlds in which all people can experience dignity, flourishing and full participation in determining the conditions of their lives. This is not merely an academic or intellectual project but a fundamentally political and ethical commitment to justice.

References:

Alcaire, Rita. "Fractured Narratives of Consent: Political Aesthetics in Michaela Coel's *I May Destroy You*." *The European Journal of Women's Studies*, 2025. DoI, 10.1177/13505068251375797

Assem-Erhaze, Eunice K., and M. Archibald. "Reimagining Inquiry: A Transformative Integration of Mixed Methods Action Research and Qualitatively Driven Designs in Disability Research Contexts." *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 2025.

Bonan, Claudia. "Collective Health, Gender and Sexuality: Praxis for Reproductive, Erotic and Gender Justice." *Saúde em Debate*, 2023. DoI, 10.1590/2358-28982023E18683I

Boonabaana, Brenda, et al. "Patriarchy, Colonial Capitalism and the 'Gender Mainstreaming' of Academic Labor: Tensions for Women Scholars in the Global South." *Gender, Place & Culture*, 2025. DoI-10.1080/0966369X.2025.2513076.



Dlott, Kamalu. “‘Too Weird to Be Normal and Too Normal to Be Weird’: The Urgency of Intersectional Policy Reform for Marginalized Identities.” *Journal of Social Issues*, 2025. DoI- 10.1111/josi.70030.

Duarte, Evandro Piza, et al. “Racial Democracy Crisis and the Emergence of Critical Race and Feminist Theories in Brazilian Criminology.” *Justice, Power and Resistance*, 2023.

Jorba, Marta, and Dan López de Sa. “Intersectionality as Emergence.” *Philosophical Studies*, 2024.

Lee, Eun-Young, et al. “Development and Validation of the SAFE (Socially Ascribed Intersectional Identities for Equity) Questionnaire.” *Acta Psychologica*, 2024.

Luguterah, A., and Juliana Kokoe. “Intersectional Invisibility: Exploring Systemic Barriers and Lived Experiences of Mental Health Access for Female Athletes in Male-Dominated Sports Cultures.” *Women in Sport & Physical Activity Journal*, 2026.

Mancinelli, James M. “On the Construction of the Intersectional Identity of LGBTQ People Who Stutter.” *Journal of Fluency Disorders*, 2026. DoI- 10.1016/j.jfludis.2026.106198.

Mehra, R., et al. “‘Oh Gosh, Why Go? Cause They Are Going to Look at Me and Not Hire’: Intersectional Experiences of Black Women Navigating Employment During Pregnancy and Parenting.” *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 2023.

Middha, Supriya. “Rethinking Intersectionality in Immigrant Mental Health Research Through Qualitative, Reflexive, and Decolonial Frameworks.” *Mental Health Global Challenges Journal*, 2026.

Mothoagae, Itumeleng D. “The Colonial Matrix of Power: Image Ontology and the Question of Blackness.” *AOSIS*, 2021.

Parmenter, Joshua G., et al. “‘Even When I Feel Alone, I Always Know I’m Not Really Alone’: An Intersectional Analysis of LGBTQIA+–BIPOC’s Experiences of Community and Resistance.” *Feminism & Psychology*, 2024.

Pippins, Esther S., and Essie-Elizabeth Pippins. “The Black Feminist: Don’t Exclude the Voice That Nurtures You.” *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2023. DoI- 10.1002/ace.20513.

Poell, T., et al. “Mainstreaming Decolonial Perspectives in Media and Communication.” *AoIR Selected Papers of Internet Research*, 2026.

Reuben, Shanya, et al. “Negotiating Multiple Identities: The Intersection of Race and Gender in the Lived Experiences of South African Female Engineers.” *Administrative Sciences*, 2026.

Richardson, Enjoli M., et al. “From Margin to Center: A Thematic Analysis Exploring the Lived Experiences of Black Women Who Stutter.” *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 2025.



Roy, Srila. "The Quiet Revolution: Decolonial Struggles and Intersectional Futures." *South African Journal on Human Rights*, 2024.

Russell, S., et al. "Expanding Notions of LGBTQ+." *Annual Review of Sociology*, 2023.

Spadacio, Cristiane, et al. "At the Intersections: Operationalizing Intersectional Thematic Analysis in HIV Prevention." *Revista de Saúde Pública*, 2024.

Taber, Jamie L., and Christopher B. Stults. "Social Categories, General Theories, and Identity-Specific Predictors of Dissociation in Transgender Adults." *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 2025.

Udenigwe, Ogochukwu, et al. "A Decolonial Feminist Perspective on Gender Equality Programming in the Global South." *PLOS Global Public Health*, 2026.

Watson-Singleton, Natalie N., et al. "Toward a Socially Just Diversity Science: Using Intersectional Mixed Methods Research to Center Multiply Marginalized Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC)." *Cultural Diversity & Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 2021.