



# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOCIAL MEDIA USE AND SELF-ESTEEM AMONG ADOLESCENTS

*Sham Sulaiman*

*Department of Social Sciences*

*University of Amsterdam, Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands*

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores the complex relationship between social media use and self-esteem among adolescents. Drawing on empirical studies, systematic reviews, and theoretical frameworks, the research synthesizes evidence about how frequency, type of use, social comparison, feedback-seeking, and platform affordances interact with developmental vulnerabilities to shape self-esteem during adolescence. The study presents a proposed empirical methodology for assessing these relationships, hypothetical results and statistical analyses (presented in narrative form), and a thorough discussion of implications for educators, parents, clinicians, and policymakers. The paper concludes with limitations and concrete recommendations for interventions and future research.

**Keywords:** social media, adolescents, self-esteem, social comparison, feedback, body image, mental health, developmental psychology

## I. INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a pivotal developmental period characterized by identity formation, heightened social sensitivity, and increasing investment in peer relationships and social evaluation. Over the past decade, social media platforms have become central to adolescents' social lives, altering the landscape in which identity and self-evaluation develop. Researchers have documented a multifaceted association between adolescents' social media use and self-esteem: some pathways suggest detrimental effects (through upward social comparison, appearance pressures, and exposure to curated lifestyles), while others indicate potential benefits (social support, identity experimentation, and belonging) depending on patterns of use and individual susceptibilities [1], [2], [3].

Empirical studies and reviews show that the relationship between social media and self-esteem is not uniform. Systematic and narrative reviews highlight that platform type (e.g., image-focused vs. text-focused), activity (active posting vs. passive scrolling), and behavioral tendencies (social comparison and feedback-seeking) modulate outcomes for adolescents'

self-esteem [1], [4], [5]. Several analyses link greater time spent on appearance-focused platforms, such as image-based apps, to declines in appearance satisfaction and related self-esteem metrics, especially for adolescent girls [6], [7].

Longitudinal and experience-sampling methodologies reveal complexity: while aggregate time measures sometimes show weak or mixed associations with global self-esteem, moment-to-moment experiences on platforms — such as receiving negative feedback, engaging in social comparisons, or using beauty filters — can produce immediate reductions in self-evaluation and body-related esteem [3], [8], [9]. National surveys underscored high prevalence of social media engagement among adolescents, making even modest average effects potentially meaningful at population levels [10].

Recent meta-analyses and reviews emphasize that individual differences (gender, age, preexisting vulnerability to anxiety or body dissatisfaction), contextual factors (peer norms, family support), and platform affordances (algorithmic curation, visual editing tools) strongly influence outcomes. Moreover,



contemporary debates highlight emergent phenomena — such as beauty filters, “performative” posting cultures, and algorithmically amplified content — which may magnify social comparison pressures and appearance-related concerns among youth [11], [12], [13]. A growing body of literature also points to the role of problematic or compulsive social media behaviors (often termed “addictive” or compulsive use) as especially harmful to adolescents’ self-esteem and broader mental health indicators [2], [14].

Given the mixed evidence and nuanced mechanisms, this paper synthesizes existing knowledge (introduction and literature survey provide cited grounding), proposes an empirically rigorous study design, simulates plausible analytic outcomes, and offers practice-oriented recommendations that balance the opportunities and risks of adolescent social media use. The aim is to provide a coherent, actionable synthesis for researchers, practitioners, and stakeholders seeking to understand and mitigate risks to adolescent self-esteem while preserving meaningful social benefits.

## II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Researchers have approached the social media — adolescent self-esteem relationship from multiple angles: cross-sectional surveys, longitudinal cohorts, experience sampling methods (ESM), experimental designs, and qualitative studies. This literature survey summarizes twenty influential and recent sources that collectively map empirical patterns, theoretical accounts, and emergent concerns.

Early reviews and conceptual pieces documented both risks and benefits of adolescents’ social media engagement, warning that traditional measures of screen time were insufficient to capture the nuances of platform use and its psychological consequences [1]. Clinical and public-health reviews later linked increased smartphone and social media

engagement with heightened mental distress and self-harm risk in adolescents, though they emphasized complexity and bidirectionality in causation [2]. Empirical studies investigating social media addiction and compulsive use among adolescents found robust associations with lower self-esteem and poorer body image, with mediated pathways through problematic engagement and negative self-perception [3].

A key mechanism identified across multiple studies is **social comparison**. Adolescents often compare themselves to curated images and highlight reels presented on platforms; this tendency is associated with lower self-esteem and greater body dissatisfaction, particularly when social comparison is upward (comparing to perceived superior peers) and when platforms emphasize appearance [4], [5]. Image-focused platforms — where users encounter highly edited and idealized images — have been particularly implicated in negative appearance-related self-evaluations [6], [7].

Experience sampling and daily diary studies have elaborated that the valence of social media experiences matters: positive interactions (supportive comments, inclusive group chat experiences) can bolster momentary self-worth, while negative experiences (cyberbullying, exclusion, critical feedback) produce immediate declines in self-esteem [3], [8]. Longitudinal studies indicate that for some adolescents, repeated negative experiences or persistent engagement in maladaptive behaviors (excessive comparison, feedback-seeking) can predict longer-term declines in self-esteem, although results vary by cohort and measurement [5], [9].

Demographic and individual moderators are consistent themes. Gender differences emerge in many studies, with adolescent girls often more susceptible to appearance-related comparisons and resultant drops in self-esteem, although boys also experience harms tied to body image and social status comparisons on certain platforms [6], [7], [16]. Age and developmental stage



matter: younger adolescents may be more vulnerable due to greater sensitivity to peer evaluation and less developed regulatory capacities [1], [17]. Preexisting mental health vulnerabilities (depression, anxiety) and low baseline self-esteem can both predispose youth to maladaptive social media interactions and be exacerbated by them, creating potential bidirectional feedback loops [2], [4].

Methodologically, the literature points to measurement challenges: global time-on-platform metrics often obscure important distinctions (active vs. passive use, content types, motivations). Many researchers call for richer behavioral indicators (content analysis of posts, measures of social comparison orientation, ESM) and for experimental or longitudinal designs to better infer causal directions [1], [3], [13]. Recent policy-oriented commentary emphasizes platform design features — algorithms that prioritize engagement, beauty filters, and features that reward performative content — as structural contributors to harmful comparisons [11], [12].

Important domain findings from recent empirical work include: (a) Instagram and similar image-centric platforms show stronger associations with appearance dissatisfaction and self-esteem declines than text-based platforms [6], [8]; (b) active, communicative uses (messaging, supportive exchanges) often relate to neutral or positive self-evaluative outcomes, whereas passive browsing and idealized exposure link to negative outcomes [4], [9]; (c) compulsive or addictive patterns of use correlate with larger declines in self-esteem than sheer time alone [3], [14]; and (d) interventions aimed at media literacy, critical consumption, and parental mediation show promise but require rigorous evaluation [15], [18].

Taken together, the twenty cited sources demonstrate that the relationship between social media use and adolescent self-esteem is robustly moderated by user behavior, platform

characteristics, individual vulnerabilities, and social context. The remainder of this paper builds on these findings to specify a methodological approach capable of teasing apart these factors, to narratively present hypothetical results grounded in the literature, and to discuss applications and next steps.

### III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study integrates social comparison theory and sociocultural models of body image with developmental perspectives on adolescence. Social comparison theory posits that individuals evaluate themselves relative to others; when exposed to idealized presentations on social media, adolescents may engage in upward comparisons that lower self-evaluation. Sociocultural models suggest that cultural standards of appearance, transmitted via media and peers, shape body dissatisfaction, which in turn undermines self-esteem. Developmental frameworks emphasize adolescents' heightened sensitivity to peer evaluation and ongoing identity formation, making them especially responsive to social media cues. These combined perspectives predict that both content (appearance-focused) and interaction patterns (comparison, feedback-seeking) will drive observed associations.

### IV. METHODOLOGY

This section outlines an empirical study designed to test the research questions above. The study uses a mixed-methods approach combining cross-sectional survey data, an experience sampling sub-study, and qualitative interviews to provide depth and triangulation.

#### 5.1 Study Design

A multi-stage study design is proposed:

1. **Large-scale cross-sectional survey** ( $N \approx 2,500$  adolescents, ages 13–18) to capture broad patterns of social media use, self-esteem, social comparison tendencies, body image, compulsive use, and contextual variables (peer support, parental mediation).



2. **Experience Sampling Method (ESM)** sub-study ( $n \approx 200$ ) over 14 days to measure momentary social media experiences and state self-esteem multiple times per day.
3. **Qualitative interviews** ( $n \approx 30-40$ ) purposively sampled across gender and high/low self-esteem profiles to contextualize mechanisms and lived experiences.

### 5.2 Participants and Sampling

Participants would be recruited from a stratified sample of secondary schools across urban, suburban, and rural districts to ensure socioeconomic and geographic diversity. Inclusion criteria: ages 13–18, active use of at least one social media platform, parental consent and adolescent assent where required. Stratified sampling ensures balanced gender representation and a range of platform usage intensities.

### 5.3 Measures

All measures use validated scales or standardized adaptations when possible.

- **Global Self-Esteem:** Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (10 items).
- **Social Media Use:** Multiple indicators: (a) daily time spent on platforms (self-report, hours/day); (b) platform types (list with frequency per platform); (c) activity pattern indexes distinguishing active (posting, messaging) vs. passive (browsing) behaviors; (d) content exposure (appearance-focused content frequency).
- **Social Comparison Orientation:** Iowa-Netherlands Comparison Orientation Measure (adapted) or equivalent items measuring tendency to compare.
- **Body Image / Appearance Esteem:** Selected subscales assessing satisfaction with appearance and weight esteem.
- **Compulsive/Problematic Use:** Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale (or adapted compulsive use items).

- **Peer Support & Parental Mediation:** Short scales assessing perceived peer support and parental monitoring/mediation strategies.
- **Covariates:** Age, gender, socioeconomic status, baseline mood symptoms (short depression/anxiety screener), and offline social activity levels.

ESM prompts will capture momentary exposure (what type of content they just viewed), emotional valence of interactions, feedback received on any recent posts, social comparison episodes, and momentary self-esteem (brief single-item state measure).

Qualitative interviews will probe subjective experiences of social media, examples of comparison, perceived consequences for self-view, and strategies used to cope or curate feeds.

### 5.4 Procedure

The cross-sectional survey will be administered online in supervised school settings or via secure web links. ESM participants receive smartphone prompts 5 times daily for 14 days, completing brief surveys (<2 minutes). Interviews are semi-structured and audio recorded with consent.

### 5.5 Ethical Considerations

Ethics approval is mandatory. Special care for adolescent participants includes parental consent, adolescent assent, secure data handling, debriefings, and protocols for risk (if distress or self-harm risk emerges). Participants receive resources for mental health support.

### 5.6 Data Analysis Plan

Quantitative analyses will proceed in stages:

1. **Descriptive statistics** for all variables and checks for missingness and normality.
2. **Correlation and regression analyses** testing RQ1: multiple regression with global self-esteem as outcome, predictors including time on platform, active vs. passive use, platform types, and covariates.



3. **Mediation analysis** (e.g., using PROCESS or structural equation modeling) testing whether social comparison and body image mediate the effect of social media patterns on self-esteem (RQ2).
4. **Moderation analysis** testing whether compulsive use strengthens associations between exposure and lower self-esteem (RQ3), and whether gender, age, or peer support moderate associations (RQ4).
5. **ESM multilevel modeling** to examine within-person associations between momentary social media experiences (e.g., comparison episodes, negative feedback) and state self-esteem. Random intercepts and slopes will model inter-individual variability.
6. **Qualitative thematic analysis** to identify themes around comparison, identity work, and coping strategies, providing explanatory richness for quantitative findings.

Appropriate corrections for multiple testing and robustness checks (e.g., alternative modeling specifications, sensitivity analyses excluding outliers) will be conducted.

## V. HYPOTHETICAL RESULTS

Below are plausible patterns of results grounded in extant literature and consistent with the measurement strategy above.

### 6.1 Descriptive Findings

The sample ( $N = 2,500$ ) reports a median daily social media use of approximately 2.5 hours, with the most frequently used platforms being image-centric apps and short-video platforms. Approximately 65% of respondents report primarily passive browsing behavior, while 35% report frequent active posting. Compulsive use scores are distributed with approximately 12–15% of adolescents scoring above commonly used clinical cutoffs for problematic use.

### 6.2 Regression Analyses (RQ1)

Regression models show that passive, appearance-focused social media use is negatively associated with global self-esteem after controlling for age, gender, socioeconomic status, and baseline mood. Active, communicative use (messaging, direct interactions with friends) shows a negligible or slightly positive association with self-esteem. Total time-on-platform alone explains a small portion of variance in self-esteem; however, when decomposed into activity types and content exposure, appearance-focused passive use emerges as a meaningful predictor of lower self-esteem.

### 6.3 Mediation (RQ2)

Mediation analysis indicates that social comparison orientation and increased body dissatisfaction partially mediate the relationship between appearance-focused passive use and global self-esteem. Specifically, greater exposure to idealized images predicts heightened social comparison, which in turn predicts increased body dissatisfaction; body dissatisfaction strongly predicts lower global self-esteem, producing a significant indirect path.

### 6.4 Moderation by Compulsive Use (RQ3)

Compulsive social media use moderates the associations observed: adolescents with higher compulsive use scores show stronger negative associations between appearance-focused exposure and self-esteem than peers with low compulsive use. This suggests that compulsive engagement amplifies the detrimental effects of comparison and idealized exposure.

### 6.5 Demographic Moderation (RQ4)

Gender moderates some pathways: adolescent girls exhibit larger indirect effects through body dissatisfaction than boys, particularly on image-focused platforms. Age effects show that younger adolescents (13–15) are somewhat more reactive to negative social experiences online, consistent with developmental expectations.

### 6.6 ESM Findings



ESM models show that within individuals, moments following exposure to upward comparison content or negative feedback are associated with transient drops in state self-esteem. Positive interactions (supportive comments, private messages) are associated with momentary boosts. There is substantial heterogeneity: some adolescents demonstrate resilience or even increases in state self-esteem following online interactions, highlighting the importance of person-specific susceptibilities.

### 6.7 Qualitative Themes

Qualitative interviews reveal themes such as “curation and performative identity” (adolescents describe shaping their posts to fit peer expectations), “filter use and appearance pressure” (widespread use of filters and concern that it sets unrealistic standards), “comparison fatigue” (exhaustion from constant comparison), and “social media as social lifeline” (accounts of support, belonging, and identity exploration). Adolescents also report varied parental mediation — from active co-use and discussion to permissive or minimal oversight.

## VI. DISCUSSION

The hypothetical findings align with and extend the literature: passive, appearance-focused social media use harms adolescent self-esteem primarily through social comparison and body dissatisfaction, while active, communicative use often yields neutral or beneficial effects. Compulsive use exacerbates harms, and demographic factors (gender, age) shape susceptibility.

These patterns suggest that simplistic prescriptions based solely on limiting screen time may be insufficient. Interventions may be more effective if they target specific behaviors (reducing passive browsing of appearance-focused feeds), bolster adolescents’ critical media literacy (reducing automatic acceptance of curated images), and address compulsive patterns (promoting self-regulation and alternative coping skills).

The ESM results emphasize that momentary experiences are consequential. Even adolescents with reasonably high global self-esteem can experience transient declines after negative online events. Thus, clinicians and educators should attend both to chronic patterns and to acute vulnerabilities.

Qualitative data underscore the role of platforms’ affordances (filters, social metrics) and peer culture in shaping experiences. Adolescents’ accounts of social media as a “social lifeline” indicate that interventions must preserve avenues for peer support while reducing exposure to harmful content or habits. Parental mediation that emphasizes open discussion and co-viewing — rather than punitive restriction — emerged as a potentially protective practice.

## VII. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

Based on the findings, stakeholders can consider the following:

- **Educators & Schools:** Implement media literacy curricula that teach adolescents about curated content, image editing, and the psychology of comparison. Encourage reflective practices and peer discussions around social media experiences.
- **Parents & Families:** Shift from permissive restriction to guided mediation: discuss online content, model healthy habits, and encourage active, communicative uses of platforms. Monitor for signs of compulsive use, and create device-free family routines.
- **Clinicians:** Screen for problematic social media engagement in adolescent mental health assessments, especially when adolescents present with low self-esteem or body dissatisfaction. Therapeutic strategies (CBT-based approaches) can target comparison behaviors and maladaptive beliefs.



- **Policy & Platforms:** Encourage platform design changes that reduce visibility of comparison-inducing features (e.g., de-emphasizing public like counts), enforce limits or labeling on cosmetic filters used by minors, and develop algorithms that promote diverse, realistic content.
- **Intervention Developers:** Design and test interventions that combine media literacy, self-regulation training, and practical feed-curation tools. Digital interventions should be co-designed with adolescents to ensure acceptability.

### VIII. LIMITATIONS

Several limitations deserve emphasis. First, the cross-sectional survey data cannot establish definitive causality, although the ESM component and longitudinal extensions could help. Second, self-report measures of time use are subject to recall bias; integrating passive digital trace data would strengthen measurement validity. Third, cultural and contextual differences may limit generalizability; research across diverse sociocultural settings is necessary. Fourth, conceptual boundaries between problematic use, addiction, and heavy normative engagement remain contested; measurement choices influence results. Finally, rapid platform evolution means observed associations may shift as new features and apps emerge.

### IX. CONCLUSION

The relationship between social media use and adolescent self-esteem is multifactorial. This paper synthesizes evidence indicating that passive, appearance-focused exposure and compulsive use predict lower self-esteem via social comparison and body dissatisfaction pathways, while active, communicative engagement often does not. Interventions should focus on behavior patterns and skills rather than blunt screen-time limits. Multi-method empirical approaches, partnership with adolescents in

intervention design, and responsible platform practices are essential for promoting adolescent well-being in an increasingly digital social world.

### REFERENCES

1. P. M. Valkenburg, "Social Media Use and Adolescents' Self-Esteem," *Journal of Communication*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 56–79, 2021.
2. A. M. Khalaf et al., "The Impact of Social Media on the Mental Health of Adolescents: A Systematic Review," *Cureus*, 2023.
3. M. Colak, "Self-esteem and social media addiction level in adolescents," *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 2023.
4. J. Nesi and M. J. Prinstein, "Using Social Media for Social Comparison and Feedback-Seeking: Gender and Age Differences in Predicting Depressive Symptoms," *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 2015.
5. C. Vidal et al., "Social media use and depression in adolescents: A scoping review," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2020.
6. S. Steinsbekk et al., "The impact of social media use on appearance self-esteem from childhood to adolescence," *Body Image*, 2021.
7. I. Alfonso-Fuertes et al., "Time Spent on Instagram and Body Image, Self-esteem," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2023.
8. R. B. Mann et al., "Adolescents and social media: The effects of frequency and type of use on reflection of possible selves," *Developmental Psychology*, 2022.
9. T. M. Dorčić et al., "Effects of Social Media Social Comparisons and Identity



- on Body Image Satisfaction,” *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2023.
10. Pew Research Center, “Teens, Social Media & Technology 2018,” 2018.
  11. The Guardian, “‘Teenage girls are feeling vulnerable’: fears grow over online beauty filters,” Nov. 29, 2024.
  12. A. Calvin et al., “A longitudinal study of directly observed social media posting and self-esteem,” *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 2025.
  13. ResearchGate, “Social media and its relationship with self-esteem among adolescents: A systematic review,” Dec. 11, 2024.
  14. The Guardian reporting on a JAMA study, “Teenagers who report addictive use of screens at greater risk of suicidal behaviour,” June 18, 2025.
  15. ResearchGate, “The Association Between Social Media and Self-Esteem among Adolescents,” 2025.
  16. A. C. Perkovich, “The Impact of Social Media on Teenage Females' Self-Esteem,” M.S. thesis, Minnesota State Univ., 2021.
  17. ResearchGate, “Adolescents’ social media experiences and their self-esteem: A person-specific susceptibility perspective,” 2025.
  18. Handspring Health, “How Social Media Affects Teen Self-Esteem,” Mar. 5, 2025.
  19. S. Steinsbekk et al., “The impact of social media use on appearance self-esteem,” *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2021.
  20. Time Magazine, “We Need to Talk About Kids and Smartphones,” 2018.